arXiv:1611.01926v1 [math.RA] 7 Nov 2016

On commuting probability of finite rings II

Parama Dutta and Rajat Kanti Nath*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University,
Napaam-784028, Sonitpur, Assam, India.
Emails: parama@gonitsora.com and rajatkantinath@yahoo.com

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the probability that the commutator of an arbitrarily chosen pair of elements, each from two different subrings of a finite non-commutative ring equals a given element of that ring. We obtain several results on this probability including a computing formula, some bounds and characterizations.

Key words: finite ring, commuting probability, $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism of rings.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16U70, 16U80.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes a finite non-commutative ring. The commuting probability of R, denoted by $\Pr(R)$, is the probability that a randomly chosen pair of elements of R commute. That is

$$\Pr(R) = \frac{|\{(r,s) \in R \times R : [r,s] = 0\}|}{|R \times R|}$$

where $[r,s] := rs - sr$ is the additive commutator of r and s and 0 is the zero element of R. Clearly $\Pr(R) = 1$ if and only if R is commutative. The study of commuting probability of finite rings was initiated by MacHale [10] in the year 1976 motivated by the commuting probability of finite groups. After Erdös [7], many authors have worked on the commuting probability of finite groups and its generalizations (conf. [4] and the references therein) but somehow people have neglected commuting probability of finite rings. At this moment, we have very few papers in the literature on commuting probability of finite rings [1, 2, 5, 6, 10]. In this paper, we study a generalization of $\Pr(R)$.

*Corresponding author

Let S and K be two subrings of R and $r \in R$. We define $\Pr_r(S,K)$ in the following way

$$\Pr_r(S,K) = \frac{|\{(s,k) \in S \times K : [s,k] = r\}|}{|S \times K|}. \tag{1.1}$$

Thus $\Pr_r(S,K)$ is the probability that the additive commutator of a randomly chosen pair of elements, one from S and the other from K, is equal to a given element r of R. This generalizes $\Pr(R)$ since $\Pr_r(S,K) = \Pr(R)$ if $S = K = R$ and $r = 0$. If $r = 0$ then

$$\Pr_r(S,K) = \Pr(S,K) = \frac{|\{(s,k) \in S \times K : sk = ks\}|}{|S \times K|}.$$

It may be mentioned here that some connections between $\Pr(S,K)$ and generalized non-commuting graph of R can be found in [6]. In [5], $\Pr(S,R)$ is studied extensively.

In this paper, we obtain several results on $\Pr_r(S,K)$ including a computing formula, some bounds and characterizations. The motivation of this paper lies in [3, 11, 12] where analogous generalizations of commuting probability of finite groups are studied.

For any two subrings S and K, we write $[S,K]$ and $[s,K]$ for $s \in S$ to denote the additive subgroups of $(R,+)$ generated by the sets $\{[s,k] : s \in S, k \in K\}$ and $\{[s,k] : k \in K\}$ respectively. It can be seen that any element of $[s,K]$ is of the form $[s,k]$ for some $k \in K$ and so $[s,K] = \{[s,k] : k \in K\}$. Let $Z(S,K) := \{s \in S : sk = ks \forall k \in K\}$. Then $Z(S,K) = S \cap Z(K)$ if $S \subseteq K$ and $Z(K,K) = Z(K)$, the center of K. Also, for $r \in R$ the set $C_S(r) := \{s \in S : sr = rs\}$ is a subring of S and $\underset{r \in K}{\cap} C_S(r) = Z(S,K)$. We write R/S or $\frac{R}{S}$ to denote the additive factor group, for any subring S of R, and $|R : S|$ to denote the index of $(S,+)$ in $(R,+)$. The isomorphisms considered in this paper are the additive group isomorphisms. It is easy to see that $\Pr_r(S,K) = 1$ if and only if $r = 0$ and $[S,K] = \{0\}$. Also, $\Pr_r(S,K) = 0$ if and only if $r \notin \{[s,k] : s \in S, k \in K\}$. Therefore, we consider r to be an element of $\{[s,k] : s \in S, k \in K\}$ throughout the paper.

2 Preliminary results

In this section, we deduce some elementary results on $\Pr_r(S,K)$ and derive a computing formula for $\Pr_r(S,K)$. We begin with the following result which shows that $\Pr_r(S,K)$ is not symmetric with respect to S and K.

Proposition 2.1. *Let S and K be two subrings of R and $r \in [S,K]$. Then $\Pr_r(S,K) = \Pr_{-r}(K,S)$. However, if $2r = 0$ then $\Pr_r(S,K) = \Pr_r(K,S)$.*

Proof. Let $X = \{(s,k) \in S \times K : [s,k] = r\}$ and $Y = \{(k,s) \in K \times S : [k,s] = -r\}$. It is easy to see that $(s,k) \mapsto (k,s)$ defines a bijective mapping from X to Y. Therefore, $|X| = |Y|$ and the result follows.

Second part follows from the fact that $r = -r$ if $2r = 0$. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let S_i and K_i be two subrings of finite non-commutative rings R_i for $i = 1, 2$ respectively. If $(r_1, r_2) \in R_1 \times R_2$ then*

$$\Pr_{(r_1,r_2)}(S_1 \times S_2, K_1 \times K_2) = \Pr_{r_1}(S_1, K_1)\Pr_{r_2}(S_2, K_2).$$

Proof. Let $X_i = \{(s_i, k_i) \in S_i \times K_i : [s_i, k_i] = r_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $Y = \{((s_1, s_2), (k_1, k_2)) \in (S_1 \times S_2) \times (K_1 \times K_2) : [(s_1, s_2), (k_1, k_2)] = (r_1, r_2)\}$. Then $((s_1, k_1), (s_2, k_2)) \mapsto ((s_1, s_2), (k_1, k_2))$ defines a bijective map from $X_1 \times X_2$ to Y. Therefore, $|Y| = |X_1||X_2|$ and hence the result follows. □

Initially, it was challenging for us to derive a computing formula for $\Pr_r(S, K)$ since there is no analogous concept of conjugacy class and no analogous character theoretic results for rings. Finally, we are able to get a formula. The following two lemmas play important role in obtaining our computing formula for $\Pr_r(S, K)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let K be any subring of R. If $x \in R$ then $|[x, K]| = \frac{|K|}{|C_K(x)|}$.*

Proof. Note that $[x, k] \mapsto k + C_K(x)$ defines an isomorphism from $[x, K]$ to $\frac{K}{C_K(x)}$. Hence, the lemma follows. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let S and K be two subrings of R and $T_{s,r} = \{k \in K : [s, k] = r\}$ where $s \in S$ and $r \in R$. Then we have the followings*

(a) *If $T_{s,r} \neq \phi$ then $T_{s,r} = t + C_K(s)$ for some $t \in T_{s,r}$.*

(b) *$T_{s,r} \neq \phi$ if and only if $r \in [s, K]$.*

Proof. Let $t \in T_{s,r}$ and $p \in t + C_K(s)$. Then $[s, p] = r$ and so $p \in T_{s,r}$. Therefore, $t + C_K(s) \subseteq T_{s,r}$. Again, if $k \in T_{s,r}$ then $(k - t) \in C_K(s)$ and so $k \in t + C_K(s)$. Therefore, $t + C_K(s) \subseteq T_{s,r}$. Hence part (a) follows.

Part (b) follows from the fact that $y \in T_{s,r}$ if and only of $r \in [s, K]$. □

Now we state and prove our main result of this section.

Theorem 2.5. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. Then*

$$\Pr_r(S, K) = \frac{1}{|S||K|} \sum_{\substack{s \in S \\ r \in [s,K]}} |C_K(s)| = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{\substack{s \in S \\ r \in [s,K]}} \frac{1}{|[s, K]|}.$$

Proof. Note that $\{(s, k) \in S \times K : [s, k] = r\} = \bigcup_{s \in S}(\{s\} \times T_{s,r})$. Therefore, by (1.1) and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$|S||K|\Pr_r(S, K) = \sum_{s \in S} |T_{s,r}| = \sum_{\substack{s \in S \\ r \in [s,K]}} |C_K(s)|. \tag{2.1}$$

The second part follows from (2.1) and Lemma 2.3. □

Using Proposition 2.1, we get the following corollary of Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.6. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. Then*

$$\Pr(K,S) = \Pr(S,K) = \frac{1}{|S||K|}\sum_{s\in S}|C_K(s)| = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{s\in S}\frac{1}{|[s,K]|}.$$

It is worth mentioning that Equation (2.1) of [2] and [5] also follow from Corollary 2.6.

We conclude this section by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.7. [5, Lemma 2.12] *Let H and N be two subrings of a non-commutative ring R such that N is an ideal of R and $N \subseteq H$. Then*

$$\frac{C_H(x)+N}{N} \subseteq C_{H/N}(x+N) \text{ for all } x \in R,$$

where H/N is a factor ring. The equality holds if $N \cap [H,R] = \{0\}$.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $S \subseteq K$ be two subrings of R. If S is non-commutative then $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)}$ is not cyclic.*

3 Some bounds and characterizations

In this section, we derive some bounds for $\Pr_r(S,K)$ and characterizations of subrings S and K in terms of $\Pr(S,K)$. We begin with the following lower bounds.

Proposition 3.1. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. If $r \neq 0$ then*

(a) $\Pr_r(S,K) \geq \frac{|Z(S,K)||Z(K,S)|}{|S||K|}$.

(b) *If $S \subseteq K$ then* $\Pr_r(S,K) \geq \frac{2|Z(S,K)||Z(K,S)|}{|S||K|}$.

(c) $\Pr_r(R) \geq \frac{3}{|R:Z(R)|^2}$.

Proof. Since $r \neq 0$ we have the set $\mathcal{C} := \{(x,y) \in S \times K : [x,y] = r\}$ is non empty. Let $(s,k) \in \mathcal{C}$ then $(s,k) \notin Z(S,K) \times Z(K,S)$, otherwise $[s,k] = 0$. Now, for part (a) it is sufficient to note that the coset $(s,k) + (Z(S,K) \times Z(K,S))$ is a subset of $\mathcal{C}$. If $S \subseteq K$ then $(s,k) + (Z(S,K) \times Z(K,S))$ and $(s,k+s) + (Z(S,K) \times Z(K,S))$ are two disjoint subsets of $\mathcal{C}$. Therefore, part (b) follows. For part (c), we consider $S = K = R$ and notice that $(s,k) + (Z(R) \times Z(R))$, $(s+k,k) + (Z(R) \times Z(R))$ and $(s,k+s) + (Z(R) \times Z(R))$ are three disjoint subsets of $\{(x,y) \in R \times R : [x,y] = r\}$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. Then $\Pr_r(S,K) \leq \Pr(S,K)$ with equality if and only if $r = 0$. Further, if $S \subseteq K$ then $\Pr(S,K) \leq |K:S|\Pr(K)$ with equality if and only if $S = K$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\Pr_r(S,K) = \frac{1}{|S||K|} \sum_{\substack{s\in S \\ r\in[s,K]}} |C_K(s)| \leq \frac{1}{|S||K|}\sum_{s\in S}|C_K(s)| = \Pr(S,K).$$

The equality holds if and only if $r = 0$.

If $S \subseteq K$ then we have

$$\Pr(S,K) = \frac{1}{|S||K|}\sum_{s\in S}|C_K(s)| \leq \frac{1}{|S||K|}\sum_{s\in K}|C_K(s)| = |K:S|\Pr(K).$$

The equality holds if and only if $S = K$. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $r \neq 0$ then*

$$\Pr_r(S,K) \leq \frac{|S| - |Z(S,K)|}{p|S|} < \frac{1}{p}.$$

Proof. Since $r \neq 0$ we have $S \neq Z(S,K)$. If $s \in Z(S,K)$ then $r \notin [s,K]$. If $s \in S \setminus Z(S,K)$ then $C_K(s) \neq K$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, we have $|[s,K]| = |K : C_K(s)| > 1$. Since p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ we have $|[s,K]| \geq p$. Hence the result follows from Theorem 2.5. □

Proposition 3.4. *If $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ and $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ are subrings of R. Then*

$$\Pr_r(S_1,K_1) \leq |S_2 : S_1||K_2 : K_1|\Pr_r(S_2,K_2).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1||K_1|\Pr_r(S_1,K_1) &= \sum_{\substack{s\in S_1 \\ r\in[s,K_1]}} |C_{K_1}(s)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{s\in S_2 \\ r\in[s,K_2]}} |C_{K_2}(s)| = |S_2||K_2|\Pr_r(S_2,K_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows. □

Note that equality holds in Proposition 3.4 if and only if $r \notin [s,K_2]$ for all $s \in S_2 \setminus S_1$, $r \notin [s,K_2] \setminus [s,K_1]$ for all $s \in S_1$ and $C_{K_1}(s) = C_{K_2}(s)$ for all $s \in S_1$ with $r \in [s,K_1]$. If $r = 0$ then the condition of equality reduces to $S_1 = S_2$ and $K_1 = K_2$.

Corollary 3.5. *If $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ are two subrings of R. Then*

$$\Pr_r(S_1,R) \leq |S_2 : S_1|\Pr(S_2,R).$$

The equality holds if and only if $r = 0$ and $S_1 = S_2$.

Proof. Putting $K_1 = K_2 = R$ in Proposition 3.4, we get

$$\Pr_r(S_1, R) \leq |S_2 : S_1| \Pr_r(S_2, R).$$

Hence the result follows from Proposition 3.2. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let S, K_1 and K_2 be three subrings of R. If $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ then*

$$\Pr(S, K_1) \geq \Pr(S, K_2) \geq \frac{1}{|K_2 : K_1|} \left(\Pr(S, K_1) + \frac{|K_2| - |K_1|}{|S||K_1|} \right).$$

The first equality holds if and only if $[s, K_1] = [s, K_2]$ for all $s \in S$ and the second equality holds if and only if $C_S(k) = \{0\}$ for all $k \in K_2 \setminus K_1$.

Proof. Since $[s, K_1] \subseteq [s, K_2]$ for all $s \in S$, by Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\Pr(S, K_1) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{|[s, K_1]|} \geq \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{|[s, K_2]|} = \Pr(S, K_2)$$

with equality if and only if $[s, K_1] = [s, K_2]$ for all $s \in S$.

By Corollary 2.6, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(S, K_2) = \Pr(K_2, S) =& \frac{1}{|S||K_2|} \sum_{k \in K_2} |C_S(k)| \\ =& \frac{\Pr(S, K_1)}{|K_2 : K_1|} + \frac{1}{|S||K_2|} \sum_{k \in K_2 \setminus K_1} |C_S(k)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We have $|C_S(k)| \geq 1$ for all $k \in K_2 \setminus K_1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k \in K_2 \setminus K_1} |C_S(k)| \geq |K_2| - |K_1| \tag{3.2}$$

the equality holds if and only if $|C_S(k)| = 1$ for all $k \in K_2 \setminus K_1$. Hence the result follows from (3.1) and (3.2). □

It is worth mentioning that the second part of [5, Theorem 2.4] follows from the first part of Proposition 3.6.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $S \subseteq K$ be two subrings of R. If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $|S : Z(S, K)| = p^n$ then $\Pr(S, K) \leq \frac{p^n + p - 1}{p^{n+1}}$. Moreover, if $S = K$ then we have $\Pr(S, K) \geq \frac{p^n + p^{n-1} - 1}{p^{2n-1}}$.*

Proof. If $s \in S \setminus Z(S, K)$ then $C_K(s) \neq K$ and hence $\frac{|K|}{|C_K(s)|} \geq p$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(S, K) =& \frac{1}{|S||K|} \sum_{s \in Z(S,K)} |C_K(s)| + \frac{1}{|S||K|} \sum_{s \in S \setminus Z(S,K)} |C_K(s)| \\ \leq& \frac{|Z(S, K)|}{|S|} + \frac{|S| - |Z(S, K)|}{p|S|} = \frac{p^n + p - 1}{p^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $S = K$ then for $s \in S \setminus Z(S,K)$ we have $Z(S,K) \subsetneq C_K(s) \neq K$ and so $|C_K(s)| \geq p|Z(S,K)|$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\Pr(S,K) \geq \frac{|Z(S,K)|}{|S|} + \frac{p|Z(S,K)|(|S| - |Z(S,K)|)}{|S||K|} = \frac{p^n + p^{n-1} - 1}{p^{2n-1}}.$$

□

Theorem 3.8. *Let S and K be two subrings of R and p the smallest prime dividing $|R|$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(S,K) \geq & \frac{|Z(S,K)|}{|S|} + \frac{p(|S| - |X_S| - |Z(S,K)|) + |X_S|}{|S||K|} \\ \text{and } \Pr(S,K) \leq & \frac{(p-1)|Z(S,K)| + |S|}{p|S|} - \frac{|X_S|(|K| - p)}{p|S||K|}\end{aligned}$$

where $X_S = \{s \in S : C_K(s) = \{0\}\}$. Moreover, in each of these bounds, S and K can be interchanged.

Proof. If $[S,K] = \{0\}$ then $\Pr(S,K) = 1$, $Z(S,K) = S$ and $X_S = \{0\}$ or ϕ according as $K = \{0\}$ or $K \neq \{0\}$. If $K \neq \{0\}$ then both the sides of the above inequalities give 1. Otherwise, it is routine to see that

$$1 - \frac{p-1}{|S|} < \Pr(S,K) < 1 + \frac{p-1}{p|S|}.$$

Let $[S,K] \neq \{0\}$ then $X_S \cap Z(S,K) = \phi$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s \in S} |C_K(s)| = & \sum_{s \in X_S} |C_K(s)| + \sum_{s \in Z(S,K)} |C_K(s)| + \sum_{s \in S \setminus (X_S \cup Z(S,K))} |C_K(s)| \\ = & |X_S| + |K||Z(S,K)| + \sum_{s \in S \setminus (X_S \cup Z(S,K))} |C_K(s)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Notice that for all $s \in S \setminus (X_S \cup Z(S,K))$ we have $\{0\} \neq C_K(s) \neq K$ which gives $p \leq |C_K(s)| \leq \frac{|K|}{p}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(|S| - |X_S| - |Z(S,K)|)p \leq & \sum_{s \in S \setminus (X_S \cup Z(S,K))} |C_K(s)| \\ \leq & (|S| - |X_S| - |Z(S,K)|)\frac{|K|}{p}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Now the required inequalities can be obtained using Corollary 2.6, (3.3) and (3.4).

The last part of the proposition follows from the fact that $\Pr(S,K) = \Pr(K,S)$. □

Putting $K = R$ in Theorem 3.8 we get an upper bound for $\Pr(S,R)$ which is better than the upper bound obtained in [5, Theorem 2.5].

Corollary 3.9. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. If $[S,K] \neq \{0\}$ and p the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ then $\Pr(S,K) \leq \frac{2p-1}{p^2}$. In particular, $\Pr(S,K) \leq \frac{3}{4}$.*

Proof. Since $[S,K] \neq \{0\}$ we have $Z(S,K) \neq S$. Therefore $|Z(S,K)| \leq \frac{|S|}{p}$. Hence, by second part of Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\Pr(S,K) \leq \frac{(p-1)|Z(S,K)| + |S|}{p|S|} \leq \frac{(p-1)\frac{|S|}{p} + |S|}{p|S|} = \frac{2p-1}{p^2}.$$

The particular case follows from the fact $p \geq 2$ and $\frac{2p-1}{p^2} \leq \frac{3}{4}$ for any prime p. □

We have, for all $s \in S$

$$|[S,K]| \geq |[s,K]| = |K : C_K(s)|. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, by Corollary 2.6 and (3.5), we have the following lower bound for $\Pr(S,K)$.

Proposition 3.10. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. Then*

$$\Pr(S,K) \geq \frac{1}{|[S,K]|}\left(1 + \frac{|[S,K]| - 1}{|S : Z(S,K)|}\right).$$

In particular, if $Z(S,K) \neq S$ then $\Pr(S,K) > \frac{1}{|[S,K]|}$.

It is worth noting that [5, Theorem 2. 17] follows from Proposition 3.10.

Theorem 3.11. *Let S and K be two subrings of R such that $\Pr(S,K) = \frac{2p-1}{p^2}$ for some prime p. Then p divides $|R|$. If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ then*

$$\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \frac{K}{Z(K,S)}$$

and hence $S \neq K$. In particular, if $\Pr(S,K) = \frac{3}{4}$ then

$$\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \frac{K}{Z(K,S)}.$$

Proof. If $\Pr(S,K) = \frac{2p-1}{p^2}$ then, by Corollary 2.6, we have p divides $|S||K|$ and hence p divides $|R|$.

For the second part we have, by Theorem 3.8,

$$\frac{2p-1}{p^2} \leq \frac{(p-1)|Z(S,K)| + |S|}{p|S|} = \frac{p-1}{p|S : Z(S,K)|} + \frac{1}{p}$$

which gives $|S : Z(S,K)| \leq p$. Since $\Pr(S,K) \neq 1$ we have $S \neq Z(S,K)$ and hence $|S : Z(S,K)| = p$. Therefore, $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Interchanging the role of S and K we get $\frac{K}{Z(K,S)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

If $S = K$ then $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \frac{K}{Z(K,S)}$ implies S and K are commutative (by Lemma 2.8). Therefore, $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)}$ and $\frac{K}{Z(K,S)}$ are trivial group, which is a contradiction. Hence, $S \neq K$.

The last part follows considering $p = 2$. □

The following lemma is useful in the subsequent results.

Lemma 3.12. *Let S and K be two subrings of R. If $[x,S] \subseteq [x,K]$ for all $x \in S \cup K$ then*

$$\Pr(K) \leq \Pr(S,K) \leq \Pr(S).$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.6 we have

$$\Pr(S) = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{s\in S}\frac{|C_S(s)|}{|S|} \geq \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{s\in S}\frac{|C_K(s)|}{|K|} = \Pr(S,K)$$

and

$$\Pr(S,K) = \frac{1}{|K|}\sum_{k\in K}\frac{|C_S(k)|}{|S|} \geq \frac{1}{|K|}\sum_{k\in K}\frac{|C_K(k)|}{|K|} = \Pr(K).$$

Hence the lemma follows. □

It may be mentioned here that [5, Theorem 2.2] follows from the above lemma.

Proposition 3.13. *Let S and K be two subrings of R such that $[x,S] \subseteq [x,K]$ for all $x \in S$. If S is non-commutative and p is the smallest prime dividing $|S|$ then $\Pr(S,K) \leq \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$.*

Proof. The result follows from [10, Theorem 2] and Lemma 3.12. □

Theorem 3.14. *Let $S \subseteq K$ be two non-commutative subrings of R and $\Pr(S,K) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$ for some prime p. Then p divides $|R|$. If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ then*

$$\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

In particular, if $\Pr(S,K) = \frac{5}{8}$ then $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. If $\Pr(S,K) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$ then by Corollary 2.6, we have p divides $|S||K|$ and hence p divides $|R|$.

By second part of Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3} \leq \frac{(p-1)|Z(S,K)|+|S|}{p|S|} = \frac{p-1}{p|S:Z(S,K)|} + \frac{1}{p}$$

which gives $|S : Z(S,K)| \leq p^2$. Since $\Pr(S,K) \neq 1$ we have $S \neq Z(S,K)$. Also $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)}$ is not cyclic as S is non-commutative (by Lemma 2.8). Hence $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.

The particular case follows considering $p = 2$. □

The following proposition gives partial converse of Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.14.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $S \subseteq K$ be two subrings of R.*

(a) *If $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $|K : S| = n$ then $\Pr(S, K) \geq \frac{n+p-1}{np}$. Further, if p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $|K : S| = p$ then $\Pr(S, K) = \frac{2p-1}{p^2}$.*

(b) *If $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $|K : S| = n$ then $\Pr(S, K) \geq \frac{(n+2)p^2-2}{np^4}$. Further, if p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $|K : S| = 1$ then $\Pr(S, K) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$.*

Proof. (a) Since $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)}$ is cyclic, we have S is commutative (by Lemma 2.8). Therefore, if $s \in S \setminus Z(S, K)$ then $|C_K(s)| \geq |S| = \frac{|K|}{n}$. Now, by Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(S, K) &= \frac{|Z(S, K)|}{|S|} + \frac{1}{|S||K|} \sum_{s \in S \setminus Z(S,K)} |C_K(s)| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{|S| - |Z(S, K)|}{n|S|} = \frac{n + p - 1}{np}.\end{aligned}$$

If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $|K : S| = p$ then $|C_K(s)| = \frac{|K|}{p}$ for $s \in S \setminus Z(S, K)$ and hence $\Pr(S, K) = \frac{2p-1}{p^2}$.

(b) We have $Z(S, K) \subsetneq C_K(s)$ if $s \in S \setminus Z(S, K)$. Also, $|Z(S, K)|$ divides $|C_K(s)|$ and so

$$|C_K(s)| \geq 2|Z(S, K)| = \frac{2|S|}{p^2} = \frac{2|K|}{np^2} \tag{3.6}$$

for all $s \in S \setminus Z(S, K)$. Now, by Corollary 2.6 and (3.6), we have

$$\Pr(S, K) \geq \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{2(|S| - |Z(S, K)|)}{np^2|S|} = \frac{(n + 2)p^2 - 2}{np^4}.$$

If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $|K : S| = 1$ then $|C_K(s)| \geq p|Z(S, K)| = \frac{|S|}{p}$ for $s \in S \setminus Z(S, K)$. Also, $C_K(s) \subsetneq S$ and so $|C_K(s)| = \frac{|S|}{p}$ for $s \in S \setminus Z(S, K)$. Therefore, Corollary 2.6 gives

$$\Pr(S, K) = \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{|S| - |Z(S, K)|}{p|S|} = \frac{p^2 + p - 1}{p^3}.$$

□

The following corollary follows immediately.

Corollary 3.16. *Let $S \subseteq K$ be two subrings of R. Then*

(a) *If $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $|K : S| = 2$ then $\Pr(S, K) = \frac{3}{4}$.*

(b) *If $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $|K : S| = 1$ then $\Pr(S, K) = \frac{5}{8}$.*

We also have the following result.

Proposition 3.17. *Let S and K be two subrings of R such that $\frac{S}{Z(S,K)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $|[s,K]| = p$ for all $s \in S \setminus Z(S,K)$ then $\Pr(S,K) = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}$.*

Proof. If p is the smallest prime dividing $|R|$ and $|[s,K]| = p$ for all $s \in S \setminus Z(S,K)$ then by Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\Pr(S,K) = \frac{|Z(S,K)|}{|S|} + \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{s \in S \setminus Z(S,K)} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{p^2+p-1}{p^3}.$$

□

We shall conclude this section by the following proposition which is an improvement of [5, Theorem 2.13].

Proposition 3.18. *Let S and K be two subrings of R and I be an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq S \cap K$. Then $\Pr(S,K) \leq \Pr\left(\frac{S}{I}, \frac{K}{I}\right) \Pr(I)$ where $\frac{S}{I}$ and $\frac{K}{I}$ are factor rings. The equality holds if $I \cap [S,R] = \{0\}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S||K| \Pr(S,K) &= \sum_{P \in \frac{K}{I}} \sum_{k \in P} \frac{|C_S(k)|}{|I \cap C_S(k)|} |C_I(k)| \\
&= \sum_{P \in \frac{K}{I}} \sum_{k \in P} \left| \frac{C_S(k)+I}{I} \right| |C_I(k)| \\
&\leq \sum_{P \in \frac{K}{I}} \sum_{k \in P} |C_{\frac{S}{I}}(k+I)||C_I(k)| \\
&= \sum_{P \in \frac{K}{I}} |C_{\frac{S}{I}}(P)| \sum_{u \in I} |C_K(u) \cap P|. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a+I = P$ where $a \in K \setminus I$. If $C_K(u) \cap P = \phi$ then $|C_K(u) \cap P| = 0$. Therefore, $|C_K(u) \cap P| < |C_I(u)|$ as $|C_I(u)| \geq 1$. On the other hand, if $C_K(u) \cap P \neq \phi$ then there exist $x \in C_K(u) \cap P$ and $x = a + v$ for some $v \in I$ which implies $x + I = a + I = P$. Therefore,

$$C_K(u) \cap P = (x+I) \cap (x + C_K(u)) = x + (I \cap C_K(u)) = x + C_I(u)$$

and so $|C_K(u) \cap P| = |C_I(u)|$. Therefore, in both the cases, $|C_K(u) \cap P| \leq |C_I(u)|$ and so, by (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S||K| \Pr(S,K) &\leq \sum_{S \in \frac{K}{I}} |C_{\frac{S}{I}}(P)| \sum_{u \in I} |C_I(u)| \\
&= \left|\frac{S}{I}\right| \left|\frac{K}{I}\right| \Pr\left(\frac{S}{I}, \frac{K}{I}\right) |I|^2 \Pr(I) \\
&= |S||K| \Pr\left(\frac{S}{I}, \frac{K}{I}\right) \Pr(I).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, first part of the result follows.

If $I \cap [S, R] = 0$ then equality holds in Lemma 2.7 and hence equality holds in (3.7). Further, if $P = a + I$ and $k \in P$ then $k = a + u$ for some $u \in I$ and $a \in K \setminus I$. Therefore, $k \in K$ and $u \in I \subseteq S$ and hence $[u, k] \in [S, K]$. Also $u \in I$ and $k \in K \subseteq R$ gives $uk, ku \in I$ and so $[u, k] \in I$. Hence, $[u, k] \in [S, R] \cap I$ and so $k \in C_K(u)$. Therefore, $C_K(u) \cap P \neq \phi$ and $|C_K(u) \cap P| = |C_I(u)|$. Hence the equality holds. □

4 Isoclinism and commuting probability

The concept of isoclinism between groups was introduced by Hall [8] in 1940. In 1995, Lescot [9] showed that the commuting probabilities of two isoclinic finite groups are same. Recently, Bukley, MacHale and Ni Shé[2] have introduced $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between two rings and showed that the commuting probabilities of two $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic finite rings are same. Further, Dutta, Basnet and Nath [6] have generalized the concept of $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between two rings as given in the following definition.

Definition 4.1. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two rings with subrings S_1, K_1 and S_2, K_2 respectively such that $S_1 \subseteq K_1$ and $S_2 \subseteq K_2$. A pair of rings (S_1, K_1) is said to be $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic to (S_2, K_2) if there exist additive group isomorphisms $\phi : \frac{K_1}{Z(S_1,K_1)} \to \frac{K_2}{Z(S_2,K_2)}$ such that $\phi\left(\frac{S_1}{Z(S_1,K_1)}\right) = \frac{S_2}{Z(S_2,K_2)}$ and $\psi : [S_1, K_1] \to [S_2, K_2]$ such that $\psi([u_1, v_1]) = [u_2, v_2]$ whenever $u_i \in S_i$, $v_i \in K_i$ for $i = 1, 2$; $\phi(u_1 + Z(S_1, K_1)) = u_2 + Z(S_2, K_2)$ and $\phi(v_1 + Z(S_1, K_1)) = v_2 + Z(S_2, K_2)$. Such pair of mappings (ϕ, ψ) is called a $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between (S_1, K_1) and (S_2, K_2).*

Dutta, Basnet and Nath [5] also showed that $\Pr(S_1, R_1) = \Pr(S_2, R_2)$ if the pairs (S_1, R_1) and (S_2, R_2) are $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinic (see Theorem 3.3). In this section, we further generalize this result in the following way.

Theorem 4.2. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two non-commutative rings with subrings S_1, K_1 and S_2, K_2 respectively. If (ϕ, ψ) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between (S_1, K_1) and (S_2, K_2) then*

$$\Pr_r(S_1, K_1) = \Pr_{\psi(r)}(S_2, K_2).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\Pr_r(S_1, K_1) = \frac{|Z(S_1, K_1)|}{|S_1||K_1|} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + Z(S_1,K_1) \in \frac{S_1}{Z(S_1,K_1)} \\ r \in [s_1, K_1]}} |C_{K_1}(s_1)|$$

noting that $r \in [s_1, K_1]$ if and only if $r \in [s_1 + z, K_1]$ and $C_{K_1}(s_1) = C_{K_1}(s_1 + z)$ for all $z \in Z(S_1, K_1)$. Now, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\Pr_r(S_1, K_1) = \frac{|Z(S_1, K_1)|}{|S_1|} \sum_{\substack{s_1 + Z(S_1,K_1) \in \frac{S_1}{Z(S_1,K_1)} \\ r \in [s_1, K_1]}} \frac{1}{|[s_1, K_1]|}.$$

Similarly, it can be seen that

$$\Pr_{\psi(r)}(S_2, K_2) = \frac{|Z(S_2, K_2)|}{|S_2|} \sum_{\substack{s_2 + Z(S_2,K_2) \in \frac{S_2}{Z(S_2,K_2)} \\ \psi(r) \in [s_2, K_2]}} \frac{1}{|[s_2, K_2]|}.$$

Since (ϕ, ψ) is a $\mathbb{Z}$-isoclinism between (S_1, K_1) and (S_2, K_2) we have $\frac{|S_1|}{|Z(S_1,K_1)|} = \frac{|S_2|}{|Z(S_2,K_2)|}$, $|[s_1, K_1]| = |[s_2, K_2]|$ and $r \in [s_1, K_1]$ if and only if $\psi(r) \in [s_2, K_2]$. Hence

$$\Pr_r(S_1, K_1) = \Pr_{\psi(r)}(S_2, K_2).$$

□

We conclude this paper by the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two non-commutative rings with subrings S_1, K_1 and S_2, K_2 respectively. If $\phi_1 : \frac{S_1}{Z(S_1,R_1)} \to \frac{S_2}{Z(S_2,R_2)}$, $\phi_2 : \frac{K_1}{Z(K_1,R_1)} \to \frac{K_2}{Z(K_2,R_2)}$ and $\psi : [S_1, K_1] \to [S_2, K_2]$ are additive group isomorphisms such that*

$$a_{(S_2,K_2)} \circ (\phi_1 \times \phi_2) = \psi \circ a_{(S_1,K_1)}$$

where $a_{(S_i,K_i)} : \frac{S_i}{Z(S_i,R_i)} \times \frac{K_i}{Z(K_i,R_i)} \to [S_i, K_i]$ are well defined maps given by

$$a_{(S_i,K_i)}(x_i + Z(S_i, R_i), y_i + Z(K_i, R_i)) = [x_i, y_i]$$

for all $x_i \in S_i, y_i \in K_i$ and $i = 1, 2$; and

$$(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)(x_1 + Z(S_1, R_1), y_1 + Z(K_1, R_1)) = (x_2 + Z(S_2, R_2), y_2 + Z(K_2, R_2))$$

whenever $\phi_1(x_1 + Z(S_1, R_1)) = x_2 + Z(S_2, R_2)$ and $\phi_2(y_1 + Z(K_1, R_1)) = y_2 + Z(K_2, R_2)$. Then

$$\Pr_r(S_1, K_1) = \Pr_{\psi(r)}(S_2, K_2).$$

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$ let $Z_i := Z(S_i, R_i)$ and ${Z_i}' := Z(K_i, R_i)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr_r(S_1, K_1) &= \frac{1}{|S_1||K_1|} |\{(x_1, y_1) \in S_1 \times K_1 : [s_1, k_1] = r\}| \\
&= \frac{|Z_1||{Z_1}'|}{|S_1||K_1|} \left| \{(x_1 + Z_1, y_1 + {Z_1}') \in \frac{S_1}{Z_1} \times \frac{K_1}{{Z_1}'} : a_{(S_1,K_1)}(x_1 + Z_1, y_1 + {Z_1}') = r\} \right| \\
&= \frac{|Z_1||{Z_1}'|}{|S_1||K_1|} \left| \{(x_1 + Z_1, y_1 + {Z_1}') \in \frac{S_1}{Z_1} \times \frac{K_1}{{Z_1}'} : \psi \circ a_{(S_1,K_1)}(x_1 + Z_1, y_1 + {Z_1}') = \psi(r)\} \right| \\
&= \frac{|Z_1||{Z_1}'|}{|S_1||K_1|} \left| \{(x_1 + Z_1, y_1 + {Z_1}') \in \frac{S_1}{Z_1} \times \frac{K_1}{{Z_1}'} : a_{(S_2,K_2)} \circ (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)(x_1 + Z_1, y_1 + {Z_1}') = \psi(r)\} \right| \\
&= \frac{|Z_2||{Z_2}'|}{|S_2||K_2|} \left| \{(x_2 + Z_2, y_2 + {Z_2}') \in \frac{S_2}{Z_2} \times \frac{K_2}{{Z_2}'} : a_{(S_2,K_2)}(x_2 + Z_2, y_2 + {Z_2}') = \psi(r)\} \right| \\
&= \frac{|Z_2||{Z_2}'|}{|S_2||K_2|} \left| \{(x_2 + Z_2, y_2 + {Z_2}') \in \frac{S_2}{Z_2} \times \frac{K_2}{{Z_2}'} : [x_2 + Z_2, y_2 + {Z_2}'] = \psi(r)\} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{|S_2||K_2|} |\{(x_2, y_2) \in S_2 \times K_2 : [x_2, y_2] = \psi(r)\}| \\
&= \Pr_{\psi(r)}(S_2, K_2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

References

[1] S. M. Buckley and D. Machale, *Contrasting the commuting probabilities of groups and rings*, Preprint.

[2] S. M. Buckley, D. Machale, and A. Ní Shé, *Finite rings with many commuting pairs of elements*, Preprint.

[3] A. K. Das and R. K. Nath, *On generalized relative commutativity degree of a finite group*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, **7**(2010), 140–151.

[4] A. K. Das, R. K. Nath and M. R. Pournaki, A survey on the estimation of commutativity in finite groups, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **37**(2), 161–180 (2013).

[5] J. Dutta, D. K. Basnet and R. K. Nath, *On commuting probability of finite rings*, Indag. Math.(2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2016.10.002.

[6] J. Dutta, D. K. Basnet and R. K. Nath, *On generalized non-commuting graph of a finite ring*, Prerint.

[7] P. Erdös and P. Turán, *On some problems of a statistical group-theory. IV*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **19** (1968), 413–435.

[8] P. Hall, *The classification of prime power groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **182** (1940), 130–141.

[9] P. Lescot, *Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups*, J. Algebra, **177** (1995), 847–869.

[10] D. MacHale, *Commutativity in finite rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **83**(1976), 30–32.

[11] R. K. Nath and M. K. Yadav, *Some results on relative commutativity degree*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **64**, no. 2 (2015), 229–239.

[12] M. R. Pournaki and R. Sobhani, Probability that the commutator of two group elements is equal to a given element, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 727–734.